



1Fw 2159

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/674,864	
	Filing Date	3/30/2001	
	First Named Inventor	Brightman, et al	
	Art Unit	2154	
	Examiner Name	El Hady, Nabil M.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	11	Attorney Docket Number	cportc01.007

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard
<div>Remarks</div>		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Gordon E. Nelson #30,093		
Signature			
Date	12/20/2005		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Gordon E. Nelson		
Signature		Date	12/20/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(cportc01.007)

5 **Applicant:** Brightman, et al. **Paper No.:** 8

Application No: 09/674,864 **Group Art Unit:** 2154

Filed: 3/30/2001 **Examiner:** El Hady, Nabil M.

10 **Title:** *Digital communications processor*

.....

15 Commissioner for Patents
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to a non-final Office action

Summary of the prosecution

20 A RCE was filed in the above patent application on 9/5/2005 which contained a Submission with an amended independent claim 14. In a telephone call to Applicants' attorney on 9/19/2005, Examiner proposed an amendment of independent claim 14 of the Submission in which the limitation that the IC was constructed on a single chip was moved to the body of the claim and dependent claim 17's limitation of an aggregator and dependent claim 22's

25 limitation of a context processor were added to the body of claim 14. Applicants' attorney told Examiner he would have to consult with his client concerning the proposal. Examiner mailed the Office action to which Applicants are now responding on 9/21/05, but Applicants' attorney's client did not respond until October 4.

30 In the Office action of 9/21/2005, Examiner rejected claims 14-16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,794,060, to Hansen (henceforth "Hansen") and also under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Hansen. Examiner rejected claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of U.S. patent 5,535,406, to Kolchinsky (henceforth "Kolchinsky") and claim 22 as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of U.S.

35 patent 5,809,176 to Yajima (henceforth "Yajima").

Applicants have decided that they will accept Examiner's proposal of 9/19/2005 in order to achieve rapid allowance of a patent on their invention, but in so doing they are not conceding the correctness of Examiner's rejections of the claims in the Office action of 9/21/05 or in

prior Office actions and are retaining their right to file a divisional patent application which contains claims 14-23 as presented in the Submission.

Please amend claims 14-23 as follows: